# Rössing Uranium - Desalination plant SEIA Authorities Meeting - NamWater

| DATE            | Thursday, 7 August 2014  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| VENUE:          | NamWater   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PROJECT:        | Desalination Plant for Rössing Uranium   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PROJECT NUMBER: | 734.18013.00002  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PURPOSE:        | The purpose of the meeting was to:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 | Present the Social and Environmental Impact Assessment (SEIA) process being followed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 | Explain the motivation and overview of the proposed Desalination     Project         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 | Discuss potential social and environmental impacts                                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 | Allow NamWater to provide input into the SEIA process                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ATTENDANCE:     | See attendance register attached in Appendix 1.                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 1. OPEN AND INTRODUCTION

Werner Petrick (WP) from SLR welcomed all to the meeting and introduced himself as well as the Rössing Uranium representative, Rainer Schneeweiss.

This was followed by a short introduction to the purpose of the meeting.

### 2. PRESENTATION

WP presented the project background/motivation as well as the description of the project location and various project components.

He also presented the SEIA process being followed and explained the potential social and environmental issues that were identified as part of the screening phase of the SEIA. He ended the formal presentation by discussing the way forward regarding the SEIA process.

A copy of the presentation is appended to the Scoping Report.

### 3. DISCUSSION

Any issues and concerns raised during the meeting have been recorded in Table 1. Where a response was provided the response has also been included in Table 1.

TABLE 1: RECORD OF ISSUES RAISED AND RESPONSES GIVEN

| Issue raised/ comment  | By whom  | Response   |
|--|----------|--|
| If agreement is reached between<br>Rössing, NamWater and other<br>stakeholders, will this project be<br>off the table? | NamWater | Yes.   |
| Will the intake be a jetty type?   |          | The final decision regarding the various infrastructure components are still being considered by the Engineers with input from the |

Will a pilot plant be implemented to test the processes?

NamWater also took a few samples at Mile 8 and monthly samples at the Areva plant. The information can be made available.

Will the pre-treatment only consist of DAF? How will the effluent be discharged from this pre-treatment system? What about post-treatment?

Is the intention to put the desalinated water into the NamWater system?

What will the quality of the desalinated water be?

Take note that the new water acts, regulations will be enforced soon with stricter requirements for Chloride and Boron.

Class B for Chloride and Boron will in future not be sufficient.

To allow for this requirement, the process might require 2 passes.

Will discharge of brine to the salt works be an option?

The NamWater desalination plant includes a discharge beyond the mixing zone (at  $\pm$  600 m into the sea). Is this an option?

The sea water current is in a northerly direction. Will the discharge being upstream not impact on the intake quality?

Environmental team. However, the intake system will most likely be a jetty type structure next to, and relatively similar to the existing Salt Works one.

No, this is not considered at the moment. The water at the likely intake and discharge locations will now be monitored as well as the water along the existing Salt Works intake channel.

Noted with thanks.

The various treatment options are still being considered by the Engineers with input from the environmental team.

Yes, the plan is for the desalinated water to be transported to Rössing via the existing NamWater pipeline.

The plant will produce drinking water quality to the same specification as the Areva water.

Noted.

The chemicals used in the desalination process will determine the output content of the brine and therefore influence the suitability of this option. This is not currently part of the project but may be investigated later.

The different discharge options are being investigated by the Engineers with input from the environmental team.

It is important to realise that this plant will be designed for 3 million m<sup>3</sup> per annum output capacity.

The most likely option will be a discharge of the brine into the waves (beyond the low water mark of the sea). The potential impacts from a marine ecology point of view however still need to be assessed, etc.

This issue is being investigated by the engineering team. The exact intake and discharge locations still need to be determined as part of the ongoing studies by the Engineers, taking the currents, water quality and dispersion into account, with

Other mines might follow the same route. Did the public ask why more plants will be constructed and why this is happening?

If Government allows this plant they should be OK with allowing future similar plants as well.

How big will the plant be?

marine ecology, etc.).

The environmental Clearance Certificate from MET after as a result of the SEIA process. Also, a permit from MAWF for the water intake as well as a permit for the discharge of the brine into the sea.

input from the SEIA specialist assessments (i.e.

The changes to the Accessary Works on the Salt Works Company's mining licence also need to be communicated with MME.

Yes, this did come up in the meetings with the public and other stakeholders. This is not the preferred approach (to have all these desalinated plants) as was spelled out in the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA).

However, from a cost perspective, Rössing has already curtailed its operations due to the low uranium price. It has adopted a survival strategy for the next 3 and half years, which includes assumptions of a less expensive desalination source. The survival strategy is therefore partially dependant on the success of this project.

No comment.

With reference to the BID, the media filters and Reverse Osmosis (RO) plant will be housed in the same building which will be approximately 60m x 20m x6m high, while the post treatment and pretreatment plants, and the storage tanks would be located adjacent to the plant building. The equipment room, offices, and chemical storage room would also be housed in a 13m x 20m x 6m high building that is connected, or is immediately adjacent, to the main plant building.

If all goes according the the current proposed schedule, the final SEIA Report will be submitted to MET towards the end of Jan 2015. Assuming a review period of 3 months and MET approving the SEIA, construction could commence towards end of April. Construction will take up to 18 months to complete.

The entire cost would range from 18 to 22 Million US dollars.

This will have to be confirmed.

This will have to be determined as part of the ongoing studies.

When will the project be implemented? i.e. when will the first drop of water be supplied?

The Salt Works might fall within a Nature reserve/protected area?

How much solid waste will be produced? How much will be filtered out?

#### 4. CLOSE

WP thanked everyone for attending and closed the meeting.

Project: SEIA PROCESS FOR THE PROPOSED DESALINATION PLANT FOR RÖSSING URANIUM

Venue: Namwater Offices

Date: 07th August 2014

Meeting: Namwater Meeting @ 11h00



|                     |                           |                   |              | 2                      |                |  |  |  |
|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------|------------------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| E-mail              | Hongaell<br>namusater com | greenenthe ramone | drewsha      | Plessis in a namwaber, |                |  |  |  |
| Contact numbers     | 6990441180                | 29822221180       | 5582221180   | 081 127 goya           |                |  |  |  |
| Postal address      | MNOTERER                  | 5                 | 7.4          | 3                      | **             |  |  |  |
| Interest in project | Nemucher                  | 7                 | Name of es   | (3)                    | ),             |  |  |  |
| Name and Surname    | EHREN FRICO               | Hendui graman     | Haysig Drass | NP du Plersis          | k Koegelenberg |  |  |  |